The FCC and Cable Franchising: What Cities Need to Know
August 27, 2019
Third Report and Order (FCC 19-80), Franchising & In-Kind

What happened?

Franchising as we know just got turned on its head!

For 35 years, LFA’s and Operators pretty much saw Franchising the same way (1984 Cable Act)

FCC’s order demands cable operators to take significant offsets against franchise fees

What’s next?

The Order takes effect September 27.

Litigation is planned and expected.

A (Court) stay would be great, but not likely

Expect to hear soon from your Operator and work to resolve views within 120 days

What do I do?

Review all:

1. Franchises
2. Side Letters
3. Settlements
4. Operator offerings (marketing) v. (requirements)
5. Institutional Network and Service Agreements (I-Net’s and SLA’s)
6. State franchising statute, if applicable
Confirm PEG Access:

- Funding -- in particular, differentiate capital from operating.
- In-Kind contributions (programming, resources, etc.)
- For both I-Net and PEG Video Return - estimate number of lines, mileage or linear footage, equipment & maintenance.
- Connections & drops -- how many & what level of service (Basic, Standard, etc.?)

The Order is pushed today, effective in 30 days

- Litigation is planned and expected.
- A (Court) stay would be great, but not likely.
- Anticipate loss of revenue (or service) in January/February, 2020.
- Urge/encourage/plead with Revenue & Law departments, as well as PEG Media Centers, to join planned appeal of the Order!

Prepare to ask Operator Q’s (RFI):

Confirm/settle views on:

1. Franchises
2. Side Letters
3. Settlements
4. Operator offers (marketing) v. (requirements)
5. Institutional Network and Service Agreements (I-Net’s and SLA’s)
6. State franchising statute, if applicable

Research

Inform

Prepare for notice
Funding
Review & organize your buckets of capital v. operating
- Assign values to muni contributions (staff, office space, leases, etc.)
- Prepare an all-funds view of cable & PEG
- Identify any ‘pass thru’ to subscriber of existing costs
- Fair market value (FMV) - challenge Operator’s calculations by researching comps!

What You Receive for FF and PEG Fees & Other
1. Fees
2. PEG “capital grants”
3. PEG operating
4. Equipment, studios or facilities from Operator
5. PEG transport (Video Return)
6. Free or discounted muni-service
7. Institutional networks (“I-Nets”)
8. Any other non-cash benefits to gov or PEG - not the public!

Do not include:
2. Build-out of cable plants for subscribers,
3. Customer service -- office, CSR’s, etc.
4. Channel capacity -- (though this might change in a year - thank you Cmmr. O’Rielly!)
Inform

Educate

“First, we conclude that cable-related, “in-kind” contributions required by a cable franchise agreement are franchise fees subject to the statutory five percent cap on franchise fees set forth in section 622 of the Act, with limited exceptions, including an exemption for certain capital costs related to public, educational, and governmental access (PEG) channels.”

3rd R & Order

Dust off your renewal cheat sheet of terms and review for impact through the lens of this Order.

Prepare a risk memo for Revenue Dept. outlining estimated financial and services impact of Order implementation.

Copy to your Law Department & Electeds...... loss of longtime revenue and services is a compelling argument for legal action.

Inform policy, digital equity & IT staff -- you’d be surprised how few will realize the impact of reduced services and revenue.

PEG & Franchising benefits have a 35-year history in cities, town & counties. Younger residents and public employees have no idea of the relationship between public right-of-way access and franchise support.
Prepare for notice

Offsets at “fair market value” (FMV)

No idea what that FMV means .... not cost-based, but what the operator thinks something is worth.

And, it needs to be a franchise in-kind benefit -- differentiate between what the Operator gives in “community benefits” v. what is “required” in the contract.

No clarification on who receives the write-off -- the operator or the consumer. Assuming subscribers are paying for these LFA requirement, subscribers should be re-imburses. If not, it’s just a wealth transfer from local governments to Cable Operators!

LFA’s have 120 days to negotiate with the operator (from when -- I don’t know!)

Don’t go looking for trouble, let the Operator knock first.
What the heck is Mixed Use?

When cable isn’t cable
Licensors can’t demand/require non-cable services
Remember the cable modem ruling of 2002?
A “cable system” includes “installation of Wi-Fi and small cell antennas attached to the cable system.”

Non-Cable Services

Offsets at “fair market value” (FMV)
No idea what that FMV means .... not cost-based, but what the operator thinks something is worth.
And, it needs to be a franchise in-kind benefit -- differentiate between what the Operator gives in “community benefits” v. what is “required” in the contract.
No clarification on who receives the write-off -- the operator or the consumer. Assuming subscribers are paying for these LFA requirement, subscribers should be reimbursed or credited on their next bill. If not, it’s just a wealth transfer from local governments to Cable Operators!

State Franchising Laws

State franchising, as applicable in 23 states:
“..... Commission requirements that concern LFA regulation of cable operators should apply to state-level franchising actions and state regulations that impose requirements on local franchising.”
(I noticed that NLC shared a great report on state preemption just this past week!)
Third Report and Order (FCC 19-80), Franchising & In-Kind

1. Assess the impact
2. Alert internal stakeholders
3. Advise the external stakeholders
4. Await the cable knock on the door
5. Ask for support to challenge
A special thank-you for advice, content & discussion to:

Rick Ellrod, Fairfax County, VA
Dept. of Cable and Consumer Services
Communications Policy & Regulation Division
Frederick.Ellrod@fairfaxcounty.gov

Daniel S. Cohen
Cohen Law Group
Pittsburgh, PA
dcohen@cohenlawgroup.org

Kenneth S. Fellman, Esq.
Kissinger & Fellman, P.C.
Denver, CO
kfellman@kandf.com

Nancy L. Werner
NATOA General Counsel
Washington, DC
nwerner@natoa.org

THANK YOU!

Mike Lynch, Director
Office of Broadband & Cable
Boston Dept. of Innovation & Technology (DoIT)
617-635-3112
mike.lynch@boston.gov

President, National Association of
Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (NATOA)
www.NATOA.org
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