5.00 Transportation Principles

The United States’ transportation systems are essential to the economic growth, vitality and resilience of our nation’s cities and towns and the country as a whole. These systems can preserve and strengthen local and regional economies, stimulate economic growth and strengthen our competitive position in world trade. The safe and efficient movement of people and goods must be the prime objective of transportation policy at all levels of government, and federal, state and local governments should be guided by the following principles in the development and implementation of transportation policies and programs.

A. Local Control
Fundamental responsibility for overall transportation decision-making is a shared federal, state and local responsibility but emphasis should be at the local level. NLC supports the ability of states and municipalities to set their own priorities in transportation investment, and to have a greater voice in influencing transportation plans that satisfy local needs and objectives.

Where there are overriding national or statewide transportation concerns, federal and state governments have a legitimate role in planning and decision-making, but local governments should never be excluded from those processes. Congress should strengthen provisions for local decision-making as a central component of any federal transportation program, and any funds intended for local use must not be diverted to state governments.

B. Flexibility
NLC supports local flexibility to build, operate and maintain local and regional transportation projects. It is important that state and local government officials have the ability to “flex funds,” or use federal transportation funding as they properly determine.

C. Modal Equity
NLC supports investment in the nation’s infrastructure and encourages the federal government to enact policies and programs that would expand public and private investment in all areas of infrastructure. Federal policy should treat all transportation modes with equity and should urge federal, state, and local officials to work together on the safe, efficient, and environmentally-friendly designs for solving transportation problems. Public subsidies for particular modes of transportation, if used, must be explicit in the outcomes required and must support and enhance the efficient operation of our market-based economic system. Rural and urban transportation needs should be addressed equitably.

D. Intermodalism/Multimodalism
NLC recognizes the need for regional and federal strategies to create a robust and multimodal national transportation system. It is essential that the nation’s transportation system be seamless and mode-neutral. Federal policy should encourage “closing the gap” of independent modal elements of the transportation system, with the goal of ensuring that efficient connections between modes are available for the movement of people and goods.

NLC supports federal priority funding for improving the efficiency of the connecting modes of intermodal/multimodal facilities.
E. Integrated Management and Operations
Federal policy must encourage integrated management and operation of all transportation systems at regional and local levels, maximizing the use of information technology for management of traffic and transit, monitoring structural integrity, and enforcement for public safety.

F. Advanced Transportation Technologies
City leaders welcome advanced technologies that can improve safety, reduce congestion and decrease costs within the transportation networks. It should be a federal policy to accelerate the testing, deployment and integration of advanced transportation technologies, such as automated, connected, electric and shared vehicles, that have the capability to increase mobility options and accessibility, while simultaneously ensuring safety and reducing emissions, collisions and congestion. This should be done in close consultation with cities and include a robust public engagement process and appropriate regulations that ensure the unique needs of each municipality are accounted for. Adoption of new technologies should also be linked to solutions to address persistent challenges including funding, data for research and integrated transportation planning.

G. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Requirements
The federal government should seek greater economic opportunity for disadvantaged businesses in federal procurement and financial assistance programs. DBE goals should be high enough to be effective, and enforcement should be reasonably consistent. Furthermore, a flexible, efficient waiver procedure should be instituted to take into account the percentages of DBEs in an area and the availability of DBEs.

5.01 Transportation Policies
A. Transportation Planning
1. Metropolitan Planning Organizations
The federal government must continue to require that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) be responsible for a continuing, comprehensive, and coordinated transportation planning process that develops multimodal transportation programs in cooperation with state transportation departments, public transportation agencies, and local implementing agencies. The MPO endorsement of these plans and programs must be a prerequisite for approval of federally assisted transportation projects in urbanized areas. The federal government should continue to provide adequate financial assistance to MPOs for planning efforts.

The federal government should allow the MPO and/or the local government to plan projects that use alternate design standards while meeting environmental objectives, when the use of rigid federal design standards is inconsistent with local needs.

Federal regulations should continue to require that the elected officials of general purpose local governments be adequately represented on the MPO and that such officials participate in the designation and re-designation of the planning organization and its membership.

MPOs representing metropolitan areas with populations of more than 50,000 must be the direct recipients of federal transportation funding for all transportation planning and program efforts in those areas. Small cities with populations less than 50,000 should have the option to receive federal transportation funding directly or retain the current practice of state sub-allocation.
The federal government shall require states to work cooperatively with MPOs to develop joint forecasts of anticipated federal transportation funding to create more accountability in federal highway projects.

2. Rural Consultation
The federal government must require states to implement a process for consulting with local jurisdictions in rural, non-MPO areas, regarding transportation project planning and decision making.

3. Project Identification
States should be prohibited from implementing projects unless they are first included in the transportation improvement plans, except for Management and Operations (M/O) projects.

NLC urges the federal government to require states to consult with affected local communities on transportation projects regarding the inclusion of environmental retrofits, such as storm water runoff and noise abatement, as part of projects that are exempt from federal environmental requirements, including categorical exclusions.

4. Project Delivery
The federal government must streamline the federal transportation project delivery process to facilitate construction of federally funded projects and reduce project delays. Delays in the implementation of federally funded transportation projects must be eliminated in order to increase local control and make more effective use of limited financial resources. The federal government should require states to implement transportation projects identified on the local level and of local importance with the same timeliness and priority as other regional transportation projects.

• Mandate concurrent reviews among all federal and state agencies involved in the environmental review of all federally funded transportation projects;
• Standardize environmental policy and the review/approval process within DOT modes;
• Develop clearly defined procedures for resolving disputes among those agencies;
• Require all agencies to determine appropriate time frames to complete their reviews;
• Eliminate duplicative environmental review by crediting equal or more stringent state environmental review actions during the federal environmental review process;
• Continue to allow federal transportation dollars to be used by local and state government to provide the resources necessary to meet the time limits established for the federal environmental process; and
• Include locally elected officials in any pilot program created to examine environmental streamlining efforts.

5. Municipal Impact Analysis
The social, environmental, economic, and energy impacts of proposed federal transportation legislation and regulations should be identified by the MPO or local jurisdictional body prior to implementation, and steps should be taken to mitigate any adverse impacts. Existing and proposed federal transportation programs and regulations must be carefully designed to ensure that actions taken support municipal elected officials and their constituents’ efforts to improve the social, economic and environmental sustainability of their communities and flexibility in the use of federal dollars to achieve local goals.
6. Research and Development
The federal government should continue to research, develop, and conduct local pilot and demonstration projects of new technologies through federally-financed programs, and include local governments as key partners at the request of local officials.

B. Transportation Finance and Administration
1. The Federal Role
The current federal surface transportation programs are not meeting the financial needs of the transportation system. NLC supports broadening the definition of innovative financing techniques beyond debt financing to include:

a. Innovative Management of Funds
NLC encourages the federal government to permit municipalities to use innovative financial management techniques such as advanced construction financing, toll credits and flexible federal – local match options with federal transportation funds to maximize limited public funds and leverage private capital. Innovative management must follow generally-applicable accounting principles.

b. Credit Assistance
Credit assistance, tax incentives and other transportation finance tools have been effective tools in expanding the available revenue for transportation investments. NLC supports federal direct (low interest) loans, loan guarantees and credit line assistance with favorable terms through programs like Infrastructure Banks and the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA). NLC supports the application of objective approval criteria for credit assistance. Approval factors should include, but not be limited to, threshold cost requirements, consistency with long-range regional and state transportation plans, generation of economic benefits, goods movement and congestion relief improvements, leveraged private capital, and the promotion of innovative technologies.

While TIFIA has provided flexible funding for major transportation projects and helped local governments leverage private and other non-federal investments, limited budget authority and delays in the approval process can result in cost increases. NLC supports an increase in the available funding for the TIFIA program and greater flexibility for DOT in approving projects that can take advantage of favorable financing.

c. Revenue Generation
The federal government should encourage a new generation of creative and innovative revenue generation options at the state and local levels such as public-private partnerships to help finance critical transportation infrastructure needs. (See also FAIR Section 1.02C.5, Increasing the Supply of Municipal Capital.)

d. Debt Financing
Debt financing for highway and transit projects is an important financial tool if exercised prudently. Low cost loans from the federal government can be extremely useful in getting highway projects moving and resolving significant transportation funding issues. Where federal funds are involved, NLC recommends ensuring sufficient protections to balance immediate transportation needs against the financial burden on future generations. Such protections include, but are not limited to, debt ceiling caps and required public referenda.

e. Federal Aid Turnback
NLC strongly opposes proposals to dismantle federal transportation finance by turning back all or a portion of the federal aid to the states.
2. **Surface Transportation Revenue Sources**

a. Guiding Principles

NLC endorses the preservation of federal fuel taxes to fund the Highway Trust Fund (HTF), which funds national surface transportation needs. All federal fuel taxes need to be increased in steps to reflect inflation since the last increase and then indexed for inflation in future years. In addition, the decrease in HTF revenue collections indicate the need for alternative transportation funding system. Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and other experimental ideas should be developed to meet the nation’s long-term needs. These programs should have a mechanism that both reflects inflation and vehicle gross weight, and emissions

The following principles should guide federal efforts to achieve this objective:

i. **Fairness**: Any new transportation revenue system must ensure that no single segment of the population bears an inequitable financial burden.

ii. **Privacy**: The design of any new transportation revenue and related tax collection system must integrate reasonable privacy protections yet provide information related to miles driven within travel jurisdictions.

iii. **Administrative Ease**: The design of any new transportation revenue system should improve administrative effectiveness and efficiency. At minimum, there must be no administrative deterioration from the current system.

iv. **Seamless Transition**: The implementation of any new transportation revenue system must provide for a seamless, gradual transition to ensure stable revenue collections, tax fairness, administrative ease, and policy stability.

v. **Revenue Generation**: Any new transportation revenue system must be able to generate more revenue than collected currently, and it must maintain the precedent under the current system’s design that protects against cheating to avoid paying one’s fair share of fuel taxes.

vi. **Reliability**: Revenue streams must be reliable and sufficient to meet the diverse and growing transportation infrastructure needs of the nation.

vii. **Technology Improvements**: The increasing shortfall of revenues from fuel taxes caused by the reduction in gasoline usage from increasingly fuel-efficient vehicles and alternative fuel cars and trucks (i.e., hybrid, all-electric, alternative fuel, and hydrogen-electric vehicles), and higher use of alternative transportation systems that utilize no gasoline must be offset with alternative revenue sources to fairly reflect their share of road usage. At the same time, the need for revenue stability should be balanced with the need for federal, state and local policies to encourage reductions in vehicle emissions, particularly in urban areas.

b. Fuel Taxes as HTF Revenue Source

Until a new, national transportation financing system is in place; Congress must maintain a dedicated federal fuel tax on diesel, gasoline, and gasohol that generates sufficient annual revenues dedicated to the HTF for transportation purposes only. These funds must not be diverted for other purposes and need to be (a) increased to reflect loss of purchasing power and (b) indexed to
account for future inflation and the federally mandated Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFÉ) standards

- Congress should require heavier trucks to increase their contribution to the HTF so that they pay in proportion to the costs they impose on the highway system. The heavy-use truck fee should continue to be required until such time as the Department of Transportation can recommend to Congress an alternative tax which is more equitable than the heavy-use tax, easy to administer, and will generate at least as much revenue as the use tax. This could be in the form of a VMT to reflect annual mileage traveled and indexed to reflect gross vehicle weight. This would operate as a national program but would not exclude similar state programs. Devices such as studded tires are permanent or seasonally permanent that increase wear and tear abnormally on highways should bear a portion of highway maintenance expense through the imposition of mount/dismount or other installation fees at the state level.
- Congress should allow the use of toll financing on federally aided highway, tunnel and bridge projects.
- Congress should develop incentives for local governments to increase their contribution to the federal highway program, for instance, by allowing localities to increase the local matching share of highway funds at their discretion. However, those increased contributions should not be considered a substitute for the federal highway funds to which a state or locality is entitled.

c. Funding Public Transportation
NLC supports an increase in funds designated for public transportation, and urges Congress and the federal government administration to both preserve current guaranteed funding mechanisms and to increase the percentages allocated to the federal transit program.

To provide a viable alternative to the automobile, public transportation services need to be of quality and frequency if they are to attract a significant number of passengers. This shift in passenger traffic can only be accomplished with an increased commitment of public funds for essential equipment, staff, and maintenance.

NLC opposes state-by-state minimum allocations for federal transit funding. NLC opposes the imposition of “caps” on the amount of federal transit funding a state may receive. Caps do not address differences in transit needs in the country, and force a “one-size-fits-all” approach to federal spending on transit programs.

A federal commitment should be made to new funding and not by shifting funding from existing transportation programs. States or localities that provide a greater financial commitment shall receive higher priority for federal funding for public transportation systems.

Maintenance and productivity indices should be incorporated into federal allocation formulae and there should be incentives in the matching-ratio to encourage productivity improvements and maintenance of existing transit facilities. However, productivity improvements alone will not mitigate the problems of rising transit costs and inadequate transit revenues.

Federal policy should also continue to emphasize the proper management of existing transit systems and the implementation of low cost transit improvements.
3. **Transportation Tax Policy to Encourage Commute Alternatives**

NLC supports changes to the tax code and federal tax incentives to encourage vanpooling, ridesharing, transit usage, telecommuting and other commute alternatives. Current law prohibits employers from providing tax-free commuter benefits. NLC seeks reinstatement of those tax-free benefits that were deleted by Congress as part of the 1986 Tax Reform Act. NLC urges the federal government to ensure that pretax benefits offered for commute alternatives, including transit, are equal to or greater than those offered for parking.

NLC strongly urges the federal government to promote transportation demand management programs for both passenger and freight movement and other commute alternatives. NLC supports federal tax incentives for small employers to coordinate and promote ridesharing programs, including the use of new connected vehicle technologies, and services that provide flexibility. Cities should be encouraged to coordinate with other transportation agencies to spread the movement of highway passenger and freight traffic from peak to non-peak times.

4. **Federal Aid Turnback**

NLC strongly opposes proposals to dismantle federal transportation finance by turning back all or a portion of the federal aid to the states.

5. **Federal Earmarks**

NLC is opposed to federal earmarks in the congressional transportation funding process that are inconsistent with regional and local priorities. NLC believes that such earmarks are inefficient, and often reduce the funding available to a state or locality for higher priority projects.

---

**C. Federal Responsibility for Planning and Funding Freight Mobility**

The U.S. goods movement system needs greater federal leadership. Freight bottlenecks can be found all over the country, but the task of prioritization and fixing them is often beyond the means of the states, counties and cities in which projects are located. A national freight strategy and dedicated, competitive and formula funding is critical in order to maintain the efficiency of the transportation system and the U.S. economic competitiveness. NLC urges the federal government to adopt the following specific measures;

1. **National Freight Strategy:**

   The Secretary of Transportation should be directed to develop a national freight strategy that addresses multi-modal freight needs in the United States. In addition to covering domestic freight, the strategy should address the movement of U.S. imports and exports through U.S. ports.

2. **Senior, Focused Freight Leadership:**

   A multi-modal freight office led by an official at least at the assistant secretary level should be established with the Office of the Secretary of Transportation. This official would develop the national freight strategy and associated policies, advocate for freight across the modal administrations, and award funding for goods movement programs and projects.

3. **Dedicated Freight Program and Funding:**

   A dedicated, formula-based goods movement program with dedicated funding should be created within the Department of Transportation. Ports should be eligible to seek funding from this program for freight
projects both inside and outside their terminals.

4. **Projects of Regional/National Significance:**
   A discretionary, merit-based grant program for projects of national significance should be established. Freight measures should be heavily weighted among the criteria used to select projects for funding.

5. **Freight Eligibility for Existing Programs:**
   Eligibility requirements for existing surface transportation programs should be expanded to better address freight requirements.
   a. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ): Although freight projects are currently eligible for CMAQ funding, they are not major recipients of funds. CMAQ criteria should be refined to more appropriately recognize freight’s potential to contribute to air quality improvements.
   b. Road-rail grade separations: The criteria for funding grade separation projects should be expanded to acknowledge congestion relief and freight benefits, as well as safety benefits.
   c. TIFIA: The TIFIA program should be clarified to include projects located within a port terminal that improve the intermodal interchange, transfer, and surface access of goods into and out of ports and that reduce environmental impacts of freight movement.

6. **Tax Credit for Rail Infrastructure Investment:**
   To encourage the expansion of freight rail capacity, a tax credit should be created for certain rail infrastructure investments that generate public benefits.

7. **States’ Freight Planning:**
   Each state should be required to develop a freight plan and establish a freight advisory committee that includes local representation and represents passenger rail interests serving cities along the routes.

**D. Air Quality**

Specific air quality policies are contained in Section 2.03 of the EENR chapter.

Transportation sources are significant contributors to the levels of pollutants, and as congestion increases in cities and the nation, levels of these pollutants increase as well, despite efforts to reduce emissions from mobile sources. Addressing transportation issues is an effective way of reducing emissions in cities. Therefore, NLC urges the federal government to offer a funding program for non-attainment areas and those cities struggling to maintain attainment to address emissions from mobile sources. The United States Department of Transportation (DOT), and not the states, should administer this program.

**E. Congestion Mitigation**

To maintain economic and environmental viability, congestion mitigation programs must be available to all cities. A comprehensive, federal funding program to address congestion would foster project innovation, enhance intermodal planning, promote savings in infrastructure investment, and increase the livability and economic viability of communities across the country. The federal government must develop a congestion mitigation program that provides direct funding to all cities to address congestion problems in their communities. Eligible projects could include intelligent transportation systems, projects to increase vehicle occupancy, demand management strategies, traffic flow improvement projects, congestion pricing, mode shift including transit and non-motorized modes, and innovative transportation technologies such as automated, connected, electric and shared.
transportation that would address the efficiency of cities’ transportation networks.

F. Transportation of Hazardous Materials
The condition of the nation’s roads and railroad infrastructure impacts the frequency and severity of accidents. Consequently, increased investment in this infrastructure will also help reduce the number and severity of accidents involving hazardous materials by improving the safety of roads, bridges, and rail. Therefore, NLC continues to advocate for increased federal investment in this critical infrastructure.

NLC supports existing federal performance standards to guide the selection of highway and rail routes along which hazardous materials can be shipped including shipments made by or under the direction of the United States Department of Energy or Defense.

States and localities must be allowed to adopt and enforce highway and rail route requirements (including time-of-day restrictions, escorting, and local bans) that are consistent with the federal performance standards. NLC supports federal exemptions when a state or locality can demonstrate that it has unique local safety requirements.

G. Surface Transportation Security
NLC does not support diverting any portion of the federal fuel tax to fund security measures for surface transportation systems. Securing these systems is a matter of national security and must be funded as part of our national defense.

H. Racial Equity
America’s cities need transportation infrastructure and services that support opportunities for all. Federal policy must support municipal efforts to provide essential transportation systems, with a focus on communities of color and other underserved, disadvantaged populations.

NLC recognizes that federal policymakers have too often accepted limited input from these communities, creating racial disparities, and gaps of economic opportunity and social mobility in vulnerable populations. NLC supports federal transportation policies that:

• Ensure opportunities for input in the transportation planning process from affected communities;
• Promote safety and address disparities in traffic fatalities among people of color;
• Provide resources to municipalities to be able to incorporate community impact assessments and health impact assessments into the transportation planning process;
• Provide resources to municipalities to strengthen minority business enterprise (MBE) and disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) programs;
• Restore communities destroyed, divided or economically disadvantaged or divided by past decisions regarding placement of transportation infrastructure; and
• Provide access to safe and affordable multimodal transportation options with equity across all communities based on need.

Transportation system shall include affected locally elected officials in the planning process. NLC urges the federal government, in cooperation with local governments and transportation system operators, to assess the vulnerabilities of the entire surface transportation system. Federal agencies must assist local governments by sharing information, intelligence, technology, and best practices, and by providing adequate funding for vulnerability assessments.
NLC urges all sectors of the transportation industry, including public transportation systems, water transportation, public and private transit and rail companies, and highway systems, to participate in cooperative vulnerability assessments, emergency response plans, and drills. Federal financial assistance must be made available to implement these plans and assessments.

NLC expects the federal government to:

- Provide technical assistance to local governments to develop possible countermeasures to deter, detect and delay the consequences of terrorist threats against vulnerable assets;
- Continue to research technologies to detect chemical, biological, and nuclear contaminants in transit systems and provide technical and financial assistance to local governments to implement these technologies;
- Develop methods to harden assets deemed most vulnerable;
- Provide current security guidelines for all transportation systems; and
- Encourage the use of information technology in traffic management, including the enforcement of traffic laws, monitoring infrastructure integrity, and public safety.

5.02 Streets and Highways

A. Highway Trust Fund Finance

To balance the effects of inflation on the Highway Trust Fund by indexing the gas tax to the consumer price index (CPI), NLC strongly opposes diverting any of these funds to any non-transportation purposes, including reducing or masking the federal deficit.

NLC also supports adoptions of a VMT based funding mechanism that increasingly captures the use of highways and roads by alternatively powered vehicles such as hybrids and electric vehicles as well as the value of the transportation product generated by all vehicles as a function of gross vehicle weight.

Every state should also be guaranteed a minimum percentage of funds to cushion any negative annual shifts in a state’s historical share of federal transportation funds. Any funds that may be distributed by the federal government to the states should be distributed in each state on an equitable basis.

B. Bridges

NLC urges the federal government to maintain the current Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation program with sufficient funding to repair bridges that are structurally or operationally deficient. Off-system bridges should continue to be eligible for federal bridge funds, and the discretionary bridge program should be preserved.

NLC urges the use of information technology in monitoring the structural integrity of bridges.

NLC also strongly urges efforts at all levels of government to review road and bridge needs and work to provide adequate revenue to ensure the safety of our transportation infrastructure.

C. Highway Beautification

NLC recommends that the responsibility for controlling outdoor advertising and junkyards should be returned to municipalities, and to states in areas outside municipalities. These governments should have the authority to order the removal of those signs and the relocation of those junkyards that are incompatible with state and local land use plans and zoning laws, and allow sign and junkyard owners to amortize their losses through advertising revenues.
Federal controls over outdoor advertising should be retained only on interstate highways outside the jurisdictions of municipalities and on federally aided primary highways selected as scenic highways by states.

D. Transportation Alternatives Program
NLC supports the Transportation Alternatives set aside in the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, which serves as a dedicated source of federal funding for local transportation priorities including bicycle and pedestrian trails and facilities.

To foster fiscal certainty and security in the transportation planning process. NLC urges the U.S. Congress to maintain a funding level for the Transportation Alternatives set-aside that is equal to, or greater than, the inflation adjusted levels established under the most recent previous authorization of the Transportation Alternatives Program. These programs should be protected from recession.

NLC encourages the federal government to maintain the Transportation Alternatives set-aside as a dedicated source of funding for transportation projects because such projects have strengthened our nation’s multi-modal transportation system and have contributed significantly to economic development and environmental improvement at the local and regional level.

E. Intelligent Transportation Systems
NLC supports intelligent transportation systems (ITS) technology development and deployment and an increase in federal funding for these purposes. By applying ITS technologies and services, we can improve the safety and efficiency of goods movement, and thus the competitiveness of America’s intermodal and international freight transportation systems.

The federal government should give funding priority to ITS projects that improve traffic flow on existing streets and highways as alternatives to major new construction, especially in high-density areas. Priority should also be given to street and highway improvements which benefit public transit, such as preferential lanes for high occupancy vehicles, bus lanes, ramp metering, computerized traffic control, one-way streets, reversible traffic lanes, traffic signal priority for buses, parking management strategies.

With the rapid advent of autonomous, connected, electric and shared advanced transportation technologies, the federal government should facilitate the testing and deployment of demonstration projects including single, multiple-occupancy and transit vehicles in those cities that choose to accelerate and integrate their adoption, in order to increase mobility options while simultaneously reducing emissions, crashes and congestion.

The federal government should facilitate the use of uniform technology in the collection of tolls on roads across jurisdictions and transportation systems such as vehicle mounted toll tags or passes and transit stickers or passes.

F. Highway Safety
Greater consideration should be given to safety in planning, design and construction. Additional resources need to be focused at all levels of government on research, education and enforcement to increase highway safety, including work zones. NLC supports the use of incentive grants to encourage states to adopt more stringent impaired driving and seat belt use laws. NLC also supports the use of information technology in traffic enforcement and pedestrian and bicycle safety.
NLC supports the funding of elimination of grade level crossings for high traffic rail lines in urban areas where the railway bisects cities and towns impeding the flow of traffic and creating public safety issues.

G. Motor Carriers
1. Truck Weight and Size Limits
NLC opposes increases in truck weight limits unless and until such increases are accompanied by simultaneous and sufficient increases in the heavy truck-user tax.

NLC opposes allowing the longer combination trucks (i.e., “triple-trailers” or “truck trains”) both on and off the designated national network of truck highways until the impact of increased truck length and width standards on highway costs and safety is assessed and reflected in highway user fees and appropriate safety regulations.

2. Truck Routing
NLC supports federal government study of the impact of the designation of routes along which longer, wider trucks may travel. This study should be used in the development of any performance standards for such operations. State and local governments should also retain authority to designate truck routes, truck access pickup and delivery points on roads which are not a part of the designated truck network.

3. Regulation of the Motor Carrier Industry
The federal government should encourage increased competition within the trucking industry and remove barriers to free entry by increasing the flexibility of carriers to set rates and establish routes. No federal action should be taken to weaken truck safety requirements in any way. NLC also urges that federal motor carrier safety requirements be applied uniformly for both domestically-registered and foreign-registered vehicles.

4. National Commercial Truck Driver Standards
NLC continues to support federal national uniform standards for the training and licensing of interstate and intrastate drivers of commercial motor carriers. States should administer those standards.

5. Enforcement of Motor Carrier Safety Regulations
The federal government should continue adequate Highway Trust Fund funding for the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP). This program provides grants to states for development and implementation of programs (including roadside vehicle inspection programs), which enforce federal and compatible state motor carrier safety regulations.

The federal and state governments should identify and develop new technologies and innovative strategies, which will enhance and improve commercial motor carrier safety, protect the driving public from commercial motor carriers that do not pass safety inspections, and promote and enforce much stricter safety standards for commercial motor carriers, such as adequately securing truck loads and imposing penalties for missing treads.

6. Motor Carrier Substance Abuse Assistance Program
NLC supports a federal incentive grant program for states that wish to conduct random roadside inspections for driver alcohol and drug abuse.

7. Motor Carrier Data Collection
NLC urges the federal government to develop a centralized system for collecting and disseminating information on motor carrier drivers and vehicles. The federal government should:
• Expedite the development of the National Driver Register (NDR);
• Ensure that commercial truck driver records are included in the Register;
• Adequately fund the program; and
• Assist states with collecting, exchanging, and maintaining comprehensive truck accident and violation data and truck driver and inspection records and history of substance abuse.

H. Barriers to Connectivity
NLC believes that well-connected communities are better able to serve all of their residents and create economic opportunity for all parts of the community. In many cities and towns, problematic past placement of federal highways has divided communities and created dangerous and isolating barriers to mobility, especially pedestrian and cycling mobility within a community. These barriers have in many instances created or worsened racial divides, food deserts, and access to healthy recreation or employment opportunities. These problems can be extremely difficult and costly to remedy. In light of the federal highway program’s responsibility for creating these barriers, additional dedicated federal funding should be created to assist cities and towns in addressing these barriers and reuniting divided communities with pedestrian/cycling overpasses and underpasses.

5.03 Public Transportation

A. Support for Public Transportation
Mobility is central to individual prosperity, as well as to commerce and to the growth of communities. Public transportation can contribute to the viability of the nation’s cities by helping to reduce congestion, protect the environment, stimulate economic development and create employment opportunities. Transit investment is in the national interest of an effective, national transportation system, especially where it alleviates congestion and increases economic productivity.

B. Role of the Private Sector
NLC believes the federal and state governments should make public-private partnerships an option for all communities for viable, appropriate projects that they decide will serve their community.

However, federal or state imposed, competitive privatization of transit service undermines the public-serving nature of transit, and privately provided transit services will never serve all transit needs.

Decisions about the terms and conditions of private sector participation in transit, including the decisions to subcontract with private providers if warranted, should be made at the local level, not the federal or state level.

C. Local Control
NLC believes that local control is paramount in transit decisions. NLC encourages the federal government to require a public transit operator that accepts federal funding to cooperate with cities whose residents contribute to the funding of the operator concerning the location, operation, and maintenance of transit stops, routes and facilities within those cities.

D. Role of Federal Partnership
NLC urges a continued federal role in public transportation policy, and strongly opposes federal actions which would reduce or eliminate the federal commitment by turning back the transit program to states, eliminating funding eligibility for new fixed rail starts, or phasing out operating assistance.

The federal government should facilitate the use of uniform technology in the collection of
tolls on roads across jurisdictions and transportation systems such as vehicle mounted toll tags or passes and transit tickets or passes.

E. Labor
With regard to The Fair Labor Standards Act, the federal government should not make determinations on “traditional and integral” functions of state and local government without consulting local governments and documenting the fiscal impact on state and local governments.

F. Accessibility
Public transportation is an essential public service that provides mobility for all people, including the disabled, elderly, and economically disadvantaged, in all places and is not simply for those who can afford to pay for the service.

NLC supports federal performance standards that indicate the level of handicapped-accessible service that is to be provided without specifying the means for achieving the service levels. Decisions regarding the specific nature and level of service for citizens with special mobility needs should be made at the local level, based upon locally identified needs, goals, and resources.

Existing accessible services, where it is demonstrated that such services adequately serve the needs of those with mobility impairments, should constitute local compliance with federal accessibility requirements. Under no circumstances should the federal government impose additional accessibility requirements (beyond the performance requirements) on existing fixed guideway systems, or require existing bus fleets or rail systems to be retrofitted with accessibility equipment.

G. Procurement Requirements
NLC supports federal procurement policies that expedite the production and procurement of transit vehicles. Alternative bidding procedures which are better suited to present transit production conditions should be given due consideration. Additionally, NLC supports federal performance and equipment standards that replace the present transit design specifications, and that give manufacturers latitude in choosing an appropriate technology to meet a specific technical objective.

H. Innovative Transportation Technologies and Demonstration Projects for a Seamless Transportation Network
Recognizing the nation’s dependency on a single type of transportation fuel, which has serious negative impacts on both the environment and economy, and the need to explore other fuel sources and transportation alternatives, NLC endorses the use of innovative transportation technologies and demonstration projects, such as Personal Rapid Transit or congestion pricing, that are specific to the needs and requirements of each city to further enhance mass transit and transportation efficiency. These transportation technologies should complement and work with existing regional technologies to create a seamless, national transportation network.

5.04 Air Transportation
NLC advocates federal policy that provides adequate, predictable, and long-term funding for airport development projects which enhance system capacity and ensure aviation safety.

However, NLC opposes attempts by the federal government to mandate expansion of federally-aided airport facilities, or to otherwise limit the ability of local
governments to continue to determine the scope and type of airport facilities needed, or the type of airport use restrictions, including airport noise or airport access restrictions, imposed in their particular location. Local airport operators and local governments must have the authority to regulate airport use and development in order to protect the public health and safety of surrounding communities, to enforce airport uses that are appropriate to the airport’s capacity and to ensure that local transportation, environmental (including noise control) and economic needs are met.

A. Funding

1. Airport Trust Fund
   The user-generated Airport and Airway Trust Fund should continue to be used to finance airport development and improvements. Funds from aviation user fees and tax receipts should be appropriated and should not accumulate unspent in the Trust Fund. Aviation users should benefit from the aviation-related taxes they pay.

2. Federal Airport Improvement Program
   The federal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) should continue to be disbursed to air carrier airport sponsors through existing funding mechanisms. Eligible airports should be allowed to compete for discretionary funds.

NLC supports increased AIP flexibility, including extending AIP eligibility to greenside improvement projects. However, NLC opposes diversion of AIP funds for federally mandated security projects.

3. Block Grants to States
   NLC strongly opposes proposals to restructure the airport grant program for small- and medium-sized airports into a state block grant program. Local governments and airports sponsors must continue to be directly responsible for airport planning and development.

4. Off-Airport User Fees
   NLC opposes federal preemption of a local government’s ability to charge off-airport user fees.

5. Passenger Facilities Charges
   NLC supports local jurisdictions setting passenger facilities charges (PFCs), which give local airport authorities the flexibility to address capacity and to implement major capital equipment upgrades, new technologies, and operational costs. These fees should be raised with inflation in order to maintain their purchasing power to build and maintain modern airport facilities.

B. Small Communities

Insufficient service and unreasonably high fares have left some small communities without commercial air transportation service. To address these problems, NLC recommends the following policies:

1. Essential Air Service Programs
   The federal government should maintain current funding for the Essential Air Service (EAS) program and NLC urges Congress to fully fund the EAS program.

   To promote competition and ensure efficient use of federal dollars, the USDOT should hold designated EAS air carriers to existing performance standards.

2. Small Community Air Service Development Program
   Projects funded through this program have the potential to identify a broad variety of options to expand and improve service in small communities. NLC urges continued Congressional support of this program.
C. Airport Noise and Pollution
The federal government must intensify its efforts to provide prompt relief to residents of cities located close to airports from unacceptable levels of aircraft noise and aircraft pollution. (See clarifications at EENR Section 2.09, Noise Control, and CED Section 3.06, Land Use)

This should be tied to encouraging changes in local zoning regulations to restrict noise sensitive property development.

The Federal Aviation Administration should require adherence to fly-quiet programs, preferred runway usage, preferred flight paths, and local curfews as established by local governments and authorities.

NLC encourages the appropriation of funds to cities and towns that adjoin an airport but do not operate the facility for compatible land use and noise mitigation planning purposes.

D. Federal Role in Air Traffic Control
The federal government should provide funding for and ensure all airports are participants in a national air navigation system which provides for safe aircraft operation such as the conversion of radar based guidance systems to GPS.

E. Aviation Security
A thorough assessment of the current and potential threat to the air transportation industry is required. The Transportation Security Administration (TSA), the FAA and other aviation experts, in partnership with locally elected officials and law enforcement, must work cooperatively and take immediate action to ensure that the aviation security system is capable of responding to specified threat levels. The public interest demands an aviation system capable of deploying a mix of technology and procedures as a unified system capable of countering all vulnerabilities, while considering effects on passenger convenience.

NLC strongly urges the federal government to ensure air travel safety through implementing the screening of commercial cargo on passenger planes, improving passenger and airport employee screening procedures, expanding traveler programs and improving terminal safety requirements. NLC also encourages Congress, or the U.S. Department of Homeland Security through the regulatory process, to further strengthen the “known-shippers” program by improving procedures for monitoring and auditing “known-shippers” of cargo.

To develop an effective aviation security policy, Congress must clearly define responsibilities within the structure of the federal transportation program. The TSA, FAA, state and local governments, and the US DOT must develop a coordinated plan to determine the level of authority each agency and government entity controls in any situation.

Since aviation security is a matter of national defense, any funds necessary to assure security must come from defense funding, not from additional fees levied on passengers. Shippers could be subject to additional fees for cargo screening. NLC opposes continued diversion of AIP and PFC funds for aviation security measures. The federal government must reimburse local governments for the expenses incurred from the mandated local law enforcement presence at airports. Congress should also reimburse costs to airports accrued from compliance with mandated security upgrades, including installation and deployment of Explosive Detection Systems.
F. Unmanned Aircraft Systems
Congress and the Federal Aviation Administration must not preempt the authority of local governments to create and enforce regulations with regard to the use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems as it relates to land use, zoning, privacy trespass, and law enforcement operations.

The Federal Aviation Administration should establish a transit zone for privately owned and commercially operated Unmanned Aviation Systems that is sufficiently high enough above ground level that it prevents disturbances to landowners, while also protecting navigable airspace.

5.05 Rail

A. Rail Principles
1. Local Role in the Nation’s Rail Planning Program
The federal government should require states to involve local governments in state rail planning efforts required under federal law to ensure that local needs and objectives are satisfied.

2. Financing
NLC supports investment in the nation’s rail infrastructure and encourages the federal government to enact policies and programs that would expand public and private investment in both passenger and freight rail mobility.

3. Rail Safety
NLC urges the federal government to promote safe and efficient passenger and freight rail transportation and to seek creative solutions.

4. Ensure Adjustments Do Not Jeopardize Passenger Services
NLC urges Congress and the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) to ensure that the expectation set in the Rail Passengers Service Act of 1970 (RPSA) for reasonable cooperation between intercity passenger rail providers and railroad companies is upheld. Adjustments to existing passenger rail providers and rail service should not be subjected to unreasonable compensation requests or unjustifiable access delays. The FRA should utilize its authority to achieve the intent of the law.

Federal and state governments should require operator funded local rail safety programs to reduce safety hazards at railroad crossings through rail relocation, sealed quiet zones, grade separation, or by other means. To increase traffic safety at crossings, stricter penalties for rail crossing violations should be imposed.

NLC supports the implementation of positive train control (PTC) systems as an essential safety measure on passenger rail lines to limit incidents caused by human error.

5. Operations and Maintenance
Continued maintenance is the individual responsibility of the rail owner. NLC urges the federal government to readdress rail track standards for dual freight and intercity passenger rail uses through appropriate rail improvements.

NLC further urges the federal government to redefine the responsibility for funding mechanisms to maintain tracks that carry both freight and intercity passenger rail traffic.

6. Environmental Impacts
Federal law regulating railroad activity should not preempt local, state, or federal environmental review and requirements for permitting and mitigation of railroad activities. The environmental impacts of railway activities and facilities, including
pollution, poor air quality, land use, vibration, light pollution, and noise, should not be exempt from local environmental, public health, safety, or welfare-based regulations. Railroads should be subject to light pollution and noise constraints. Rail yard lighting standards and types need to be established that minimize lighting impact on neighboring communities. There is a need for uniformity among the different federal agencies in their policies governing acceptable noise levels. (See also EENR Section 2.08, Railroad Noise Policies)

B. Passenger Rail
NLC urges Congress and the Administration to develop a long-range vision and fund for passenger rail in the United States. Amtrak, in cooperation with state and local transportation officials, citizen groups, and other stakeholders, must develop such a plan as expeditiously as possible. National passenger rail plans should include acquiring and improving rail lines that would otherwise be abandoned. NLC urges the Administration to prioritize the safety review and approval of the use of information technology and crashworthy vehicles, such as passenger rail cars on low speed urban dual use passenger and freight lines.

NLC recommends the following:
1. Amtrak’s Self Sufficiency Requirement
NLC urges repeal of Amtrak’s statutory self-sufficiency requirement, since no known passenger rail system operates without government subsidies.

2. Development of and Access to a High-Speed Rail Network
NLC supports the investment in high-speed rail development as an important part of our national transportation network. Networks should be developed only after detailed analysis of their operational and financial feasibility and with local consent. NLC urges Congress to support creation of new high-speed rail.

3. Funding Options for Amtrak and High-Speed Rail
NLC supports the development of a long-term funding mechanism for infrastructure acquisitions, improvements, and rights-of-way and operating costs. In addition to appropriations, options include:

- Increasing the federal funding sources specifically for passenger rail;
- Directing the diesel fuel tax currently levied for rail operations and deposited into the general fund be moved into a newly created Trust Fund for rail improvements;
- Allowing state, regional and local government entities the option to spend a portion of their federal transportation allocation on intercity rail; and
- Allowing federal and state governments to issue tax-exempt or tax-credit bonds for financing rail improvements.

C. Freight Railroads
1. Freight Rail Service
Congress and the public and private sectors must cooperate to ensure and provide for the efficient movement of freight, especially with regards to the relocation of rail lines and increased rail traffic within metropolitan areas, which could constitute a safety hazard and disrupt municipal functions.

2. Freight Rail Safety
NLC urges Congress to review the following freight rail safety issues:
- The hazard of reduced crews or lack of current safety technology use undermines the safe and efficient movement of trains and takes away the trained first responder at rail incidents and accidents. This action leaves no other person to monitor quickly changing circumstances or affirm,
discuss or point out critical operations and safety issues;
- The lack of railroad maintenance has jeopardized safety. The closure of poorly maintained crossings cause congestion on remaining crossings and cause short trips to be substantially extended due to poor road access, putting operators and passengers of motor vehicles at a greater risk; and
- The switching and storage of railroad cars containing volatile and hazardous materials in urban and residential neighborhoods.

3. **Rail Line Abandonment**
Congress must protect national, state and local government interest in alternative public transportation regarding the use of abandoned rail corridors.

Congress should:
- Specify how the federal government should balance the impact on shippers and the community of losing rail service against the burden upon the railroad of continued service and the potential use of the corridor for public transportation, including biking and hiking. Alternative means of freight and passenger conveyance should be considered.
- Require railroads to provide specific information concerning traffic trends, profitability, and rail line conditions to rail users and state and local governments six months prior to the filing of the abandonment application.
- Require a railroad to transfer an abandoned rail corridor to a state or local agency for no more than the constitutional minimum valuation, for alternative public uses including walking and biking, and public-private transportation initiatives. Public interest in alternative use of rights-of-way should be fully considered during rail merger proceedings.
- Provide federal financial assistance for the purpose of converting existing rail terminals into intermodal/ multimodal facilities.

4. **Rail Mergers**
Freight rail operations in the United States are expanding through rail mergers, often resulting in the implementation of new routes, or intensified use of existing ones, as well as new and expanded rail yards. Because of the potential impact mergers can have on local municipalities, federal law should ensure that local governments have police, zoning, and land use authority for the protection of the environment and public safety.

The Surface Transportation Board should be required to consider all aspects of a railroad’s safety record as one of the criteria for approving railroad mergers or expansions.

5.06 **Waterways, Ports and Landside Connections**
Waterways and ports are an integral part of a comprehensive, intermodal transportation system vital to our nation’s economic security and the financial health of cities.

The effects of ports go beyond their immediate boundaries. NLC recommends that the federal government consider the following funding and public safety issues related to ports:

A. **Funding for Harbor Maintenance and Dredging to Increase Capacity**
Recognizing the importance of ports and their impact on the national economy and job creation, NLC supports funding for harbor maintenance and funding for dredging to maintain or increase capacity. NLC urges
Congress to recommend a defined revenue source for this purpose and provide support for development of environmentally friendly ship channel shoreline systems. NLC further calls on Congress to provide financial and technical assistance to communities and their watershed partners to promote innovative approaches for the construction and maintenance of shorelines in the vicinity of federally maintained navigable waters.

B. Transportation In and Out of Ports
NLC expects the federal government to assist local and state government agencies in providing the needed financial resources to support the landside infrastructure in and around ports required to operate an efficient intermodal system and to support efficient port operations including commuter transportation at port facilities such as ferries.

C. Operations Funding to Implement the Transfer of Cargo to Portside Distribution
NLC supports the design and implementation of equitable waterway commercial user fees and customs duties necessary to generate sufficient revenues to finance waterway and port operations and improvements.

D. Security
Because cargo containers are distributed throughout the country, any dangerous cargo in a particular container could pose a threat to any city in the nation. Greater security can be achieved by establishing partnerships with industry and foreign ports to encourage increased security in their supply chains and the federal government should continue to establish such partnerships as quickly as possible. To reduce cities’ vulnerability from cargo containers, NLC recommends that the federal government:
• Increase funding for waterways and port security;
• Allow locally elected officials to coordinate waterway and port security at the local level, in conjunction with the U.S. Coast Guard and other affected federal agencies;
• Continue to provide technical guidance and oversight for port vulnerability assessments, entrusting one federal agency with this responsibility;
• Require screening and background checks of port personnel;
• Establish a grant program for ports to acquire new security technology;
• Increase inspections on cargo containers, through the U.S. Customs Service, and provide additional funding and equipment to Customs to monitor cargo without slowing the movement of commerce;
• Establish criteria for identifying high risk containers;
• Pre-screen containers, according to international agreements, before shipment; and
• Develop and require the use of containers that are resistant to tampering.

Port security measures should be funded through national defense programs and general fund revenues, not through increased user fees.

E. Funding for Maintenance of Inland Waterways and Locks
Recognizing the importance of inland waterways as well as locks and their impact on the national economy and local economies, NLC supports sufficient funding for inland waterways infrastructure, fully funding the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.

5.07 Pipelines
The system of gaseous and liquid pipelines is an important component of an efficient transportation network for moving hazardous
material. Our nation’s pipelines keep portions of these hazardous materials off of our roads, waterways, and rail lines, making those modes of transportation safer for all users.

Unremitting attention to the safety of this system is vital to cities, due to the hazardous nature of these materials, the proximity of many pipelines to homes and businesses, and the potential environmental impacts of any failure in the system.

To improve the safety of the system, the federal government, through PHMSA the Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), must:

• Continue to allow states the flexibility to impose safety requirements beyond federal requirements, and extend the right to enforce those safety requirements to states that have imposed such requirements;
• Develop standards for periodic testing of pipelines and periodic hydrostatic tests;
• Further refine and advance the definition of High Consequence Area, or HCA, to assure the definitions appropriately capture “environmentally sensitive areas” and “high density population areas” which would be severely impacted if a failure in a pipeline were to occur; and
• Strengthen rules regarding pipeline operation, maintenance, and public reporting.

To reach the goals stated above, NLC recommends that the federal government:

• Require formal testing and certification of pipeline operators;
• Promulgate needed regulatory improvements in transmission pipeline integrity management approaches and enforce such advances to reduce pipeline failures
• Require Study implementation of new technologies to detect pipeline releases, especially transmission pipeline ruptures;
• Impose equivalent safety standards, where appropriate, for both liquid fuel and natural gas pipelines;
• Require pipeline operators to report all liquid spills to the Office of Pipeline Safety and affected jurisdictions, except those spills truly de minimis in nature;
• Require pipeline operators to disclose to local and state authorities the results of all pipeline inspections;
• Require the Office of Pipeline Safety to work with local emergency response providers to develop preparedness and response plans, and to provide appropriate funding, including grants, to local jurisdictions to implement such plans;
• Recognize the right of local governments through franchise provisions to require pipeline operators to 1) provide to local governments the data and results from internal and external pipeline assessments along with a description of the testing methods to allow for their analysis of the potential risks to public safety; 2) require pipeline operators to cooperate with local governments in emergency preparedness and response and 3) require pipeline operators to have state of the art safety, warning, detection and emergency response capabilities to protect cities and their citizens and to mitigate potential damages from an accident;
• Require pipeline operators to provide data to the National Pipeline Mapping system administered by OPS and make this data available to local jurisdictions;
• Require periodic management audits of pipeline companies to assure compliance with the foregoing;
• Provide enhanced funding to federal agencies and states charged with the
implementation and oversight of pipeline safety laws and regulations; and

- Require pipeline operators to provide for anti-tampering devices and surveillance systems to protect pipelines from criminal activity, including terrorist attacks to deny service, and drug cartel use of the pipelines for drug deliveries.
NLC RESOLUTION #31

CITIES AND TOWNS CALL FOR COOPERATION WITH NEIGHBORING RAILROADS AND OPERATORS TO IMPROVE RAIL SAFETY, FLOW, SERVICE AND NOISE IN COMMUNITIES

WHEREAS, rail interstate networks between cities and regions provide essential transportation flow for American goods and passengers;

WHEREAS, the intertwined relationships of cities, towns and villages with their neighboring railroads have raised issues of safety after accidents with hazardous materials, flow of passenger traffic with freight, safety incidents at rail crossings, and noise considerations that require communication and collaboration between the railroad operators and local leadership; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) must continue to lead on safety with strong support and follow through on all safety practices as well as increase incentives for railroads to deconflict rail and road grade crossings for better safety, flow and service through communities; and

WHEREAS, new reports from the Government Accountability Office confirm growing community safety and congestion concerns with blocked rail crossings as railroad train lengths have expanded and crews shrink with technology increases; and

WHEREAS, communities across the nation have raised concerns with FRA practices and responsiveness, especially on noise issues and their willingness to adapt modern technologies or provide reasonable exemptions for the Train Horn Rule as well as ensure a clear, affordable process for establishing a community quiet zone between all parties; and

WHEREAS, communities continue to seek more informed and complete coordination among railroads, states and local emergency managers for accurate, timely information on the materials transported through their communities as well as the resources to adequately respond to an emergency; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the National League of Cities (NLC) urges Congress and the Administration to create clear, forward-looking, and comprehensive regulations in the next reauthorization of federal transportation programs which can improve:

- the safety at railroad-road crossings through investment in overpasses, underpasses and other best practices,
- blocked crossing issues with incentives to keep crossings clear or other necessary steps,
- communication with railroads and productive collaboration, especially on issues like hazardous materials movement,
- flow of freight traffic in conjunction with on-time passenger services,
- approaches to noise through modernization of the train horn rule, and
- coordinated planning with FRA and regions that includes local community feedback.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED. NLC calls on FRA to increase their safety oversight under existing rules, reevaluate rulemaking on the Train Horn Rule, decrease barriers for local communities to establish quiet zones while ensuring safety at highway-rail grade crossings, respect state and local rail planning and use their convening power to address national rail needs.
NLC RESOLUTION #32

PARTNER WITH CITIES, TOWNS, AND VILLAGES TO REBUILD AND REIMAGINE AMERICA’S TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

WHEREAS, the National League of Cities (NLC) has called on Congress and the Administration to REBUILD WITH US by partnering with cities, towns and villages to rebuild and reimagine America’s transportation and essential infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, all current federal transportation programs authorized under the Fixing Americas Surface Transportation (FAST) Act of 2016 will expire in September of 2020, and current transportation funding sources could be depleted as soon as 2021; and

WHEREAS, the economic well-being of our cities is dependent on a safe and efficient multimodal network of roads, bridges, transit, rail, ports, sidewalks, and bike paths; and

WHEREAS, while federal and state funding dwindles, local governments are prioritizing transportation innovation, performance, safety and congestion relief; and

WHEREAS, according to the NLC State of the Cities annual report, infrastructure continues to be a top priority of cities, towns and villages; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the NLC urges Congress and the President to prioritize infrastructure as a national priority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that NLC continues to support a long term comprehensive national transportation plan and funding that would:

• Include local governments, who are closest to their citizens, as stakeholders in decision making on all transportation programs that impact their communities; and
• Support regional transportation models and planning to increase the effectiveness of the nation’s multimodal and integrated transportation investments; and
• Increase the overall funding directly available to local governments, such as an increase in the suballocated share of the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) and to Transportation Alternatives; and
• Expand and implement new revenue mechanisms that are developed collaboratively with local governments, reflect the true cost of every mode of transportation, and can grow with the county’s transportation demands; and
• Increase investment and maintenance in both supported and emerging transportation modes including regional and intercity rail connections, micromobility options, safe biking and walking infrastructure, transit of all forms including autonomous vehicles and modern buses; and
• Continue to move toward a performance-based transportation structure where the goals of the programs align with the region’s goals for economic development, sustainability, safety, innovation, equity, and regional connectivity; and
• Integrate and sustain the highway, rail, air, and port freight systems of the North American trade partners to enable the U.S. to remain a competitive economy and to connect urban and rural communities to each other and to the global economy; and,
• Recognize the essential connections between transportation and land use planning, housing, energy, the economy, public health and the environment; and
• Support affordable public transportation systems of all sizes and modes as well as ensure that U.S. wages keep up with costs of providing transportation services and living costs; and
• Advance the work of Vision Zero cities to improve safety for all users and across all modes of transportation as well as utilizes safety as a criteria for prioritizing investments; and
• Increase the transparency of the federal regulatory processes for both localities and the general public and streamline federal processes that are duplicative and do not provide additional benefits.
NLC RESOLUTION #33

ADVANCE ESSENTIAL SAFETY RULES TO INCORPORATE DRONES AS AN EMERGING TRANSPORTATION INNOVATION

WHEREAS, cities are incorporating the innovation and use cases for the safe and effective integration of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS or drones) into city transportation ecosystems; and

WHEREAS, drones offer unique benefits to cities and residents as a transportation option but also increases risks without appropriate and essential safety protocols being developed by both the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and local authorities; and

WHEREAS, there are more drone registrations by the FAA than manned aircraft, yet the Congressionally mandated safety rulemakings on drone safety at the FAA have been repeatedly delayed concerning both cities, local first responders and aviation industry partners; and

WHEREAS, FAA’s ability to perform their safety mission has been called into question by recent fatalities and certification process issues, yet the FAA continues to expand exceptions and open up commercial “drone airline” operations without completing their basic safety standards increasing risk in the nation’s airspace and overreliance on an uncertain and unclear exemption process; and

WHEREAS, Congress has reinforced their support for the Drone Integration Pilot Program and provided leading cities with the ability to advance pilots with our industry partners and extend the use cases for drones in partnership with the FAA; and

WHEREAS, cities will also continue to lead in the use drones for a range of public benefits from assisting with search and rescue, fighting wildfires, inspecting infrastructure and responding to emergencies; and

WHEREAS, cities will continue to prepare to incorporate drones into communities proactively using their existing authorities of land use, zoning, privacy, trespass, and law enforcement operations to seamlessly integrate and optimize drone operations; and

WHEREAS, cities’ law enforcement professionals are viewed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, FAA and U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) as the primary emergency response for the careless, clueless and criminal drone users yet Congress has not granted provisional or cooperative counterdrone authority for local law enforcement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the integration of drones into cities’ skies must be a partnership among communities, their citizens, drone operators, researchers and the FAA to be effective in integrating these new flyers into the low altitude airspace; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, NLC urges Congress and the Administration to respect and uphold local authority over land use, zoning, privacy and law enforcement operations as they relate to the effective operations and integration of drones in any rulemaking, legislative action, or executive order; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the FAA should continue to bring various stakeholders together through the Drone Advisory Committee comprised of technology, commerce, and transportation companies in addition to government stakeholders in order to provide relevant and timely recommendations to the FAA related to fulfilling the directives of Congress through the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2018 and continue piloting of integration of drones into the national airspace; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the DHS should consult directly with local and state governments in the testing and preparation of counterdrone technology; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, cities remain committed partners in the safe, coordinated, and cooperative integration of drones into the skies about our neighborhoods and downtowns and to assist in the development of effective federal regulations that appropriately balance the promise of new technologies, realistic integration, and most importantly, the economic interests and goals of communities where drones can take flight.
CITIES CALL ON WASHINGTON TO KEEP THEIR TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROMISES AND PARTNER TO REBUILD AMERICA’S INFRASTRUCTURE

WHEREAS, Cities are the engine of our national economy, providing safe transportation choices and connecting residents to employment, healthcare, and education opportunities; and

WHEREAS, America’s cities are living laboratories to test new transportation ideas and address safety, economic mobility, and climate change; and

WHEREAS, despite overwhelming public support for infrastructure and transportation funding, cities seeking to invest prudently in the nation’s transportation systems are facing delays and additional costs from uncertainty as Washington fails to fulfill their funding promises for infrastructure and transportation; and

WHEREAS, the federal Transportation Highway Trust Fund (Trust Fund) was created to provide dedicated, consistent federal funding for both the highway and mass transit accounts, which together fund the majority of federal surface transportation programs; and

WHEREAS, the primary method of revenue generation for the Trust Fund is the federal fuel tax of 18.4 cents per gallon on gasoline and 24.4 cents per gallon of diesel fuel, but has not been changed since 1993, resulting in a significant loss of purchasing power; and

WHEREAS, Congress has relied on non-transportation related funding patches and bailouts from the general fund, which will total more than $100 billion by 2020; and

WHEREAS, if Congress continues along our current trajectory, national transportation funding to roads, bridges, transit and other transportation projects will face automatic cuts and be in jeopardy by 2021, causing delays for projects and additional burdens on local government; and

WHEREAS, furthermore, a looming $7.6 billion rescission was included in the last federal transportation bill to take effect in July of 2020 and the low balances of the Highway Trust Fund leave cities and states in budgetary limbo; and

WHEREAS, of the $5.1 billion Congress has provided for Capital Improvement Grants over the last two years (FY18-10), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has still not released $1.2 billion to communities and earlier this year was holding up almost two-thirds of promised transit support for local communities; and

WHEREAS, FTA’s unnecessary and inefficient delays have resulted in at least $845 million in additional and unnecessary costs for local transit agencies from dithering; and

WHEREAS, project approval times have more than doubled recently for Transit New Start and Small Start Grants; and
WHEREAS, for American families to contribute to the economy and enjoy healthy, prosperous lives, government at all levels must act to fund and improve our transportation systems and options without government waste stemming from uncertainty; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the National League of Cities calls on Washington to be a stable, consistent and responsible partner with local government to create a reliable and multimodal transportation system Americans want and need; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Washington must avoid costly delays and disruptions of resources for transportation and not put an unnecessary burden on taxpayers from federal bureaucratic inefficiency; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that cities, states, and Washington D.C. must work together to rebuild our infrastructure and innovate for the future, and that cites remain committed to work with our federal and state partners to reach solutions to our nation’s transportation funding challenges.
NLC RESOLUTION #35

REDUCE THE ECONOMIC, NOISE AND HEALTH IMPACTS OF OVERFLIGHTS OF CITIES FROM IMPLEMENTATION OF NEXTGEN’S AIRSPACE REDESIGN

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is implementing both a National Airspace Redesign—a multi-year initiative to review, redesign, and restructure the nation's airspace to meet the rapidly changing and increasing demands on the National Airspace System — and an Air Traffic Control System upgrade named “NextGen;” and

WHEREAS, the NextGen System allows for aircraft to fly more closely together, both vertically and horizontally, during landing and takeoff, which has concentrated flight paths at low altitudes over residential areas of the U.S. with increased frequency; and

WHEREAS, in some states, the FAA did not conduct Environmental Impact Studies that use a noise standard that accurately reflects the impact of NextGen routing over residential communities; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Noise Control Act and the Clean Air Act Title IV - Noise Pollution indicate that aircraft and turbojet engines are a source of noise and air pollution that require mitigation; and

WHEREAS, problems related to noise include stress-related illnesses, high blood pressure, speech interference, hearing loss, sleep disruption, and lost productivity; and

WHEREAS, frequent low flying aircraft increases risk on the ground and also adversely impacts economic development, property values, and the quality of life of residents;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the National League of Cites urges Congress and the FAA to reduce the economic, noise and health impacts of the implementation of NextGen’s Airspace Redesign and protect the public against NextGen-related impacts; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the NLC supports FAA’s goals of aircraft safety and security, and the full funding and implementation of the FAA Reauthorization Act, which includes the following major provision, among others:

- “Mandates that the ongoing study of alternative noise measurements to the current 65 decibel day-night average sound level (DNL) measurements be completed within one year”; and,
- Immediate utilization of alternative single-event noise metrics, which are better suited to analyzing noise impacts over affected communities; and,
- Acceleration of funding to NASA for research and development to address aviation noise, at its source—aircraft engines and airframes; and,
- Continued vigilance to ensure that Congress and FAA take additional steps to reduce aircraft noise and enhance the quality of life for residents and citizens affected by overflights.
SUPPORT THE MOBILITY REVOLUTION WITH CITIES’ OPEN SOURCE PLATFORMS TO SUPPORT NEW SERVICES AND MANAGE PUBLIC SPACE

WHEREAS, cities have a responsibility to their residents and authority to manage the movement of vehicles in the public realm to increase safety, promote commerce, relieve congestion, and improve quality of life; and

WHEREAS, cities are working collaboratively with other cities and their partners to introduce open data best practices and communicate with new mobility partners; and

WHEREAS, the federal government can support local leadership in infrastructure technology and management even if federal investments continue to fall behind the nation’s needs and demands; and

WHEREAS, public space management has traditionally been communicated and implemented using static tools such as road signs, road striping, painting of curbs, driver education and more dynamic tools such as street lights, traffic enforcement, emergency vehicle signaling and road closures; and

WHEREAS, the variety of vehicles using the public right-of-way is dramatically increasing, and in the near future will include new mobility vehicles like scooters, shared bikes, autonomous vehicles, and soon autonomous aerial delivery vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the overall number of vehicles in cities is dramatically increasing as well because of the popularity of on-demand delivery, ride sharing and other innovations; and

WHEREAS, if managed well, this evolving transportation landscape can provide convenience, new business opportunities, emission reductions and personal financial savings; and

WHEREAS, if managed poorly, this new landscape can lead to increased congestion, safety concerns, and overloading of shared sidewalks, roads and curbs; and

WHEREAS, cities must interact with these new mobility providers to help ensure the benefits are accessible and distributed equitably and at the same time manage the challenges of preserving exceptional quality-of-life and safety; and

WHEREAS, while private companies increasingly guide citizens with navigation applications, there is a need for cities to retain the authoritative version of their streets, particularly during emergencies and events; and

WHEREAS, this new landscape requires the implementation of both new static and dynamic tools to enable cities to carry out their authority to manage the movement of vehicles in the public realm; and

WHEREAS, new software technologies and tools have become and are becoming available to digitally administer this complex new transportation landscape; and
WHEREAS, privacy is a critical component of any future mobility management solution and must be thoughtfully designed and embedded within the technology so that cities can elevate individual privacy while preserving the goals of public transparency and appropriate access to data,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the National League of Cities supports the development and broad deployment of effective, open-source mobility platforms and tools that allow cities to fulfill their multiple responsibilities for safety, managing congestion, promoting commerce and improving quality of life; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the development and deployment of such platforms be led by cities, in partnership with private and non-profit entities, to meet residents needs and establishes essential checks-and-balances to develop solutions for the common mobility challenges that all cities of all sizes face.